

Trans is going strong at the UN and WHO, where nature is treated as a threat.

By Marina Terragni

Until not so long ago, for a woman aspiring to access the inner sanctum of male power, a few accessories were all that was needed as collateral: a man's jacket, flat shoes, and a satchel instead of a handbag. Today we're going straight to the point, and Munroe Bergdorf doesn't miss it. The super-accessory dangles between his legs; it's a souvenir of his being born male in Essex to a Jamaican father and English mother on September 11, 1987, to later adapt the rest of his body to a hyper-sexualized, pornographic looking stereotype of femininity.

In short, Munroe Bergdorf is trans: all well and good, his business. Except that *she* was chosen to represent female citizens of the UK as an ambassador to the United Nations among more than 33 million British women. Indeed *he*-other than misgendering!-has been called out by 17 feminist associations, from Women's Declaration International to Women's Right Network, who are up in arms over this story and in a letter titled "This male does not represent us" express "dismay and disappointment" calling on UN Women UK to change course.

"It is disappointing," they write, "to see the committee go so far as to select a man to represent women. Your credibility is in tatters You ignored each of us and chose a male. Munroe Bergdorf's well-publicized activism is not pro-women. This person has opposed the fact that women make reference to their female bodies. Yet many issues affecting women, such as genital mutilation, early and forced marriage, reproductive rights, male violence, rape as a war crime, health care in pregnancy and motherhood, and more, are inextricably linked to female biology. How can this person be a champion of women if these issues are deemed unmentionable?"

Bergdorf is quite a piece of work, always hovering between politics and glamour: as the first trans testimonial for L'Oreal he was celebrated after in a violent bout of wokeism he spoke out about the racist violence of "all white people" (although on another occasion he had lashed out at "stupid, dirty, smelly niggers"). Jeremy Corbyn's Labour adviser on Lgbtq+ issues had to quit after claiming that suffragettes were "white supremacists" and

that Tory gays are "a particular kind of dickheads," as well as a host of other misogynistic and homophobic blunders.

"We agree with Munroe Bergdorf," the UK feminists write again, "about 'the importance of dismantling gender stereotypes in advertising and media.' But we do not see how a male whose presentation as a 'woman' is an extreme, sexualized version of femininity can contribute to this goal. On the contrary, Bergdorf's gender presentation embodies the objectification that most women reject as a particularly demeaning example of offensive gender stereotyping."

Speaking of the Bergdorf operation as "very fetish cake," noted women's rights' campaigner Kelly-Jay Keen, aka Posie Parker, has proposed that UN Women UK no longer be funded.

Trans is going strong in international institutions to compensate for a certain fatigue at the level of individual nations: just in the last few days, for example, New Hampshire joined the now conspicuous list of states that ban "sex reassignment" surgeries on children under 18. The global lobby therefore pushes furiously with grotesque outcomes as is the Bergdorf case. All the more outlandish is the World Health Organization's decision to develop new guidelines for transgender health by entrusting the task to a panel three-quarters of which are transactivists. And on that panel, special mention goes to Florence Ashley, a self-styled "transfeminist jurist and bioethicist," according to whom "puberty blockers should be treated as the default option" for all young people, instead of "letting puberty take its course by altering their bodies with testosterone and estrogen." Nature has become a transhuman disease and WHO is going along with it.